

#	Final RFP Section	Subject/Title	Industry Comment/Question	DOE Response
1	N/A	Waste Management Plan	The Current Waste Management Plan DUF6-PLN-005, Rev. 5 (dated 1/27/22) in the Documents Library references (in Section 5.3) a "Pilot Oxide Management Plan" (DUF6-PLN-285). Will DOE please place a copy of this plan in the documents library?	The document was placed in the Documents Library on the procurement website on June 13, 2022.
2	N/A	Broken link on EMCBC library	The link to "PADUCAH DUF6 CONVERSION PROJECT UPS ONE LINE DIAGRAM" led to a "Page not found." Will DOE please provide a like to this document?	The link in the Documents Library on the procurement website was fixed on June 9, 2022.
3	C.7.4	DUOX Waste Generated at DUF6 Conversion Facilities	Would DOE please provide an amount of DUOX material requiring preparation, shipment and disposal at Assumption of Operations?	Approximately 200 - 55 gallon drums of oxide should be assumed at AOO. Amendment 0001 includes the addition of this assumption to Section L, Attachment L-8.
4	L - Attachments	Attachments L-8 to L-11	Attachments L-8 to L-11 still have the word "DRAFT" in the header. Are these documents final?	These are final documents. Amendment 0001 includes the corrected headers for Attachments L-8, L-9, L-10 and L-11.
5	L	Site Tour	Would DOE please provide an in person site tour, including inside of at least one of the DUF6 operations facilities? The virtual site tour did not include the inside of the facilities.	An in-person tour will not be provided. The video posted on the procurement website contains limited data of the interior walkthrough as DOE could not release it with export-controlled information.
6	Attachment J-4	Summary of Contract Deliverables, Table A	Transition deliverable number TD-022, Project Management Plan (PMP), refers to a section C.3.3.7; however, this does not exist in the PWS. Is the correct reference C.3.3.4?	The correct reference is C.3.3.4. Amendment 0001 deletes the reference to C.3.3.7 and inserts C.3.3.4.
7	Attachment J-4	Summary of Contract Deliverables, Table B	Deliverable number D-103 refers to section C.4.10.8, which includes language about the Portsmouth rail system; however, the deliverable title includes a reference to Paducah. Is the correct reference C.4.11.5?	Deliverable No. D-103 is correct as it references C.4.11.5, and makes no mention of C.4.10.8.

8	Attachment L-6 Cost Proposal Summary Worksheets	BOE 45	Basis of Estimate 45 is not included in Attachment L-6 Cost Proposal Summary Worksheets (not included on tab "Total Cost - All PWS" nor is there a BOE 45 tab) and therefore in conflict with L.17(j) Table L.5 and Attachment J-12 Crosswalk for Baseline Development. Will DOE please confirm that BOE 45 should be included, and provide an updated Attachment L-6?	BOE 45 is a "DOE Provided Cost" and it exists in the L-6 Worksheet in the following locations:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Tab "Total Cost – All PWS" (Row 15, C.9)</li> <li>• Tab "CLIN Reconciliation" (Rows 11, 17 and 23)</li> </ul> Amendment 0001 revised Section L, Table L.5 and Section J, Attachment J-12 Crosswalk to remove #45 so it doesn't appear to be its own BOE.
9	H.6	Special Provisions Applicable To Workforce Transition and Employee Compensation: Pay and Benefits	The reference in the last paragraph of paragraph (c)(3) appears to be incorrect: "The advance written notification shall include the information detailed in H.5(k)(1)." We believe the correct reference is H.5(j)(1).	The correct reference is H.5(j)(1). Amendment 0001 includes the change.
10	J-5	Summary of contract deliverables	Model contract section J-5 lists deliverable TD-008 and references section C.2.2 Outgoing Transition. This appears to be a deliverable for the incoming transition; we believe this should reference section C.2.1 Incoming Transition, item (g). Would DOE please clarify?	Section J, Attachment J-4, Summary Contract Deliverables:  TD-008 has been updated to reflect C.2.1 Incoming Transition in Amendment 0001.  Additionally, a new deliverable D-229 has been added for the C.2.2 Outgoing Transition. The PWS in C.2.2(e) states that a joint wall to wall inventory is required. It is the outgoing contractor's responsibility to ensure the incoming contractor signs and accepts all high risk and sensitive property.
11	n/a	Pre-existing Conditions Clause	Will DOE add 48 CFR 970.5231-4 to clarify contractor obligations and liability for conditions that predated contract responsibility?	No, as the clause is not applicable to this contract.

12	Basis of Estimate	DOE provided BOEs	The final RFP Section C Performance Work Statement contains updates to the scope of work after the DOE provided revised BOEs (BOE Data Report-28JAN2022-0459PM Rev 1 from DOE 4-18). Will DOE issue updated BOEs that align with the revised PWS?	No. Historical average labor rates and fringe for the work sites were used to generate the cost in the BOEs. The costs DO NOT include G&A/Fee as stated in the BOEs. It is the Offerors' responsibility to develop their respective costs and cost basis based on their proposed technical and management approach.
13	Basis of Estimate	DOE provided BOEs	Can DOE please confirm that the provided BOEs (BOE Data Report-28JAN2022-0459PM Rev 1 from DOE 4-18) are a reliable source of estimating data?	Historical average labor rates and fringe for the work sites were used to generate the cost in the BOEs. The costs DO NOT include G&A/Fee as stated in the BOEs.
14	C.5.2	DUF6 Conversion Operations	The PWS requires maximum utilization of the CTS and CER. We have been unable to find documentation that the CTS and CER have been successfully operated beyond testing. Would DOE please provide the production rate information for these 2 parts of the conversion operations over the last 5 years? Have CTS and CER been used in production operations?	CTS at both sites has been commissioned and has been routinely used in conversion operations. CER has not been successfully operated at either site.
15	L.17.j	Offeror proposed cost	The PWS (and other RFP sections) seem to stress integration and single programs and systems across the 2 sites. For example, PWS C.4.2.1 states, "The Contractor shall develop, document, and maintain a single MC&A Plan." However, the instructions for the cost breakdown indicates separation of activities by having a separate WBS element for each activity. In addition, PWS section C.3.3.1.1 indicates that the proposal will be the basis for the future PMB. This seems to indicate that this separation of activities will be reflected in the baseline and not reflective of the integration that is a primary objective of this contract. Would DOE please clarify this potential misalignment of requirements?	The OSMS will own a single NMCA Program for all PPPO contractors (PMB will be split between EM.PA.0020.0020.0002.02 and EM.PO.0020.0020.0002.02). The implementation of the program to support projects is covered by EM.PO.0011X.0011X01.0028.04, EM.PO.0040.004001.0010.04, EM.PA.0011X.0011X01.0028.04, EM.PA.0040.004001.0011.04.
16	L.17.(3)	BOEs	This section states that "offerors shall provide detailed description of any and all process improvements and/or changes being proposed for each plant. The description shall identify the impact to resources required, cost, plant efficiencies and production output." Section C.6.1 of the PWS implies that the budget for improvements established during the contract procurement cycle in the CPB includes budget for planning packages, with a DOE request for more detailed information based on complexity and cost of the modification. Please clarify if the offerors should (a) include a full cost estimate for all improvements proposed or (b) only include the cost for preparing the planning package associated with the proposed improvements?	The Offeror shall propose the work as specified in C.6.1 per the cost assumptions provided in Attachment L-8.

17	C.5.6.1	Cylinder S&M	This section states, "The Contractor shall perform S&M on cylinders listed in the CID..." Would DOE please provide access to a current copy of the CID?	Cylinder Information Database reports for the various cylinders have been posted in the document library.
18	L.17(j)(3)	Improvements	L.17(j)(3) states: "Additionally, the Offeror shall provide a detailed explanation to any proposed labor efficiencies from the current building operation..." Are offerors to assume the "current building operations" is what is provided in the DOE provided BOEs?	The DOE provided BOEs is based on DUF6 building operations prior to COVID impacts, FY2019.
19	L.17.j, Table L-5	Emergency management/response	Items 11 and 12 in the table list 2 separate PWS elements for each site. Would DOE please clarify the details of these WBS elements and why there are 2 separate WBS elements for these work areas? This information is necessary to comply with the instructions on baseline development.	The WBS is reflective of the funding allocation.
20	L.17.j, Table L-5	Utilities	Items 19 and 21 in the table list 2 separate PWS elements for each site. Would DOE please clarify the details of these WBS elements and why there are 2 separate WBS elements for these work areas? This information is necessary to comply with the instructions on baseline development.	The WBS is reflective of the funding allocation.
21	L.17.j, Table L-5	Radio	Items 20 and 22 in the table list 2 separate PWS elements for each site. Would DOE please clarify the details of these WBS elements and why there are 2 separate WBS elements for these work areas? This information is necessary to comply with the instructions on baseline development.	The WBS is reflective of the funding allocation.
22	L.17.j, Table L-5	Disposition of UO, Heeled and empty cylinders	Items 43 and 44 in the table list 2 separate PWS elements for each site. Would DOE please clarify the details of these WBS elements and why there are 2 separate WBS elements for these work areas? This information is necessary to comply with the instructions on baseline development.	The WBS is reflective of the funding allocation. The 5th level (0030 and 0031) of the WBS indicates 2 different projects.
23	C.7.1 BOEs 37 and 38 Document Library DUF6-PLN-005, Rev 5 (Waste Mgmt. Plan)	Self-Generated Waste	The PWS states that the contractor is responsible for managing all wastes generated. The current Waste Management Plan (DUF6-PLN-005, Rev 5) lists a number of waste streams, including LLW, MLLW, Hazardous and TSCA wastes. However the DOE-provided BOEs seem to only include Universal Wastes and AqHF as self-generated wastes. Should offerors assume the waste streams and volumes detailed in the current Waste Management Plan are to be included in the BOEs and cost estimate?	The estimated annual volumes of waste by waste type expected to be generated by the DUF6 operations at Paducah and Portsmouth have been added to L-8 cost assumptions with the issuance of Amendment 0001.
24	J-7	PPPO Facilities Responsibility Matrixes (for Landlord and Selected Services)	We believe that the following facilities have been demolished: X-230J-9; X-530H; and X-615, and the X-616 Sludge Lagoons have been closed and capped. In addition, X-2232D has been air-gapped and isolated. Should these facilities be removed from the J-7 list?	No; responsibility designation is still needed.
25	C.2.1.d	Incoming Transition - Programs and Procedures	This section states, "Programs and procedures that are bluesheeted shall be updated to the new Contractor's organization within 120 days of the effective date for the Contractor's Assumption of Operations (AOO)." Section J-4 states that deliverable D-003, "Eliminate all blue-sheeted procedures and performance documents" is due within 90 days after conclusion of transition." These requirements do not seem to align. Would DOE please clarify.	120 days is correct. Section J, Attachment J-4, Summary of Contract Deliverables, Deliverable D-003 has been revised to 120 days in Amendment 0001.

26	Document Library PPPO-01-10018956-22	PPPO Approval of Portsmouth ICS Upgrade Final Design Submission 5/26/2022	The Reference document, numbered PPPO-01-10018956-22, is for approval of the ICS Upgrade final design. What is the plan, schedule, and status for this ICS Upgrade?	This upgrade has been completed and turned over to operations.
27	H.7(a)(1)(iii) and H.7(a)(2)(ii)	Deliverable frequency clarification needed	Please clarify the deliverable frequency for TD-050 and TD-054. Section H, Special Contract Requirements H.7(a)(1)(iii) and H.7(a)(2)(ii) require both the draft and final Communication Plan to be submitted within 10 days after NTP. Attachment J-4, Contract Deliverables is consistent with Section H wording.	H.7(a)(2)(ii) should have included language for the final Communications Plan submitted in 15 day. Amendment 0001 includes this change as well as Deliverable TD-054 in Section J, Attachment J-4, Summary of Contract Deliverables has been revised to 15 days.
28	BOE	Discrepancies in BOEs and the PPPO OSMS Final RFP	Final RFP: PWS C.4.7 PORTS (ONLY) Laundry BOE #17: Portsmouth Laundry and Respirator Cleaning Final RFP PWS C.4.7 scope doesn't match associated BOE  Question: Please provide clarification on discrepancy of PWS scope and associated BOE	It wasn't DOE's intent for them to match as BOE was provided as historical costs. Amendment 0001 changed the title of BOE 17 in Section L, Table L-5 BOE/WBS/PWS Crosswalk to remove "and Respirator Cleaning".
29	B.5	B.5 DOE-B-2015 Task Order Fee/Profit Ceiling (Oct 2014) (Revised)	Please clarify this section only applies to IDIQ CLINs 00104, 00204, and 00304 only like B.4.	Yes, it only applies to IDIQ CLINs.
30	H.5	DOE-H-2001 Employee Compensation: Pay and Benefits (Jan 2022) (Revised)	This is a new clause to replace DOE-H-2001 Employee Compensation: Pay and Benefits (Oct 2014). The new clause was proposed to several major contractors and was not accepted because of the many uncertainties and potential negative impacts which could not be clarified by DOE Contractor Industrial Relations and DOE-HQ EM. It is recommended that the Oct 2014 clause be inserted and this clause be included as an alternate to be activated once the mechanisms and implementation processes are developed and accepted by the contractor(s).	The revised H.5 clause does not impact offerors' ability to submit proposals. Any clarifications needed on post-award requirements included in the revised H.5 clause will be addressed post award.
31	H.5	DOE-H-2001 Employee Compensation: Pay and Benefits (Jan 2022)	Please confirm the incumbent contractor's benefit plan is acceptable and a corrective action plan will not be immediately required.	The Incumbent Contractor is currently under a corrective action plan. It is unknown what changes may be in place at contract award. However, the successor contractor is to follow the H clause terms of providing same or comparable benefits for incumbent employees for the first year.

32	H.6	Special Provisions Applicable To Workforce Transition and Employee Compensation: Pay and Benefits	Absent the ability to carry over leave balances and service credit, it is unlikely corporate employees would be willing to transfer to Portsmouth/Paducah. Please add to (a)(1): "Non-Incumbent Employees hired from the contractor's parents and affiliates the Contractor shall carry over leave balances and the length of service credit from Incumbent Contractor/parent's and affiliates for purposes of determining rates of accruing leave for these employees as required by and consistent with applicable law and applicable collective bargaining agreements." This revision will confirm that length of service credit with the parent company and affiliates will carry forward for leave accrual and that service with Parent Organizations under DOE or NNSA M&O or Site Management Contracts will entitle employees to the full spectrum of benefits. (For reference, see NNSA Directive NA SD O 350.1.)	The RFP includes the requirement to recognize service credit for those incumbent employees transitioned over to the new contract. Any additional recognition of service credit can be addressed post award and included in the transition deliverable Contractor Employee Compensation Plan.
33	H.6(c)(4)	Training	The references to Clauses H.4(a)(1)(iii) and H.4(b)(3) are in error - no such clauses in H.4.	H.4(a)(1)(iii) should be H.4(b)(1)(iii) and H.4(b)(3) should be H.4(c)(3). Amendment 0001 includes the changes.
34	J-10-5	PEMP Issuance/Revision	With annual evaluation periods of 12 months, it is not reasonable to unilaterally change the evaluation criteria and fee allocations with a 30 calendar day notice. Please provide for a 90 day notice for changes to the criteria and fee allocation.	This is only a draft PEMP and changes can be negotiated post-award.
35	H.5(g)	Plans in H.5(g)	Please confirm none of the plans listed in H.5(g) are co-mingled plans	None are co-mingled plans.
36	L.14(d)(1) Team oral interview	Team oral interview process	The 60-minute team oral interview is a new approach by DOE to evaluate the key personnel team. As this process has not been implemented on any of the recent DOE EM major procurements, there is significant uncertainty on the structure and application of the team interview. Please provide clarification on the one hour team oral interview process, i.e., how the question(s) / scenario(s) are proffered, what is the time allowed for solutioning, what is the expectation for solution presentation? Or, is the anticipated structure to be in a Q&A format?	DOE will conduct an interview with the key personnel team by presenting multiple incidents at the beginning of the interview. The key personnel team will have 60 minutes to respond to the incidents.
37	H.13	Risk Management and Insurance Programs	The final RFP does not include DEAR 970.5231-4 Preexisting Conditions. It is noted that the preexisting conditions clause is specific to M&O contracts. However, given the scope of work identified in the PPPO OSMS RFP, we respectfully request that the preexisting conditions clause be made applicable to the PPPO OSMS RFP.	The clause is not applicable to this contract.
38	L.11(m)	Organizational Conflicts of Interest	The final RFP Section L.11 (m) Organizational Conflicts of Interest (OCI) discusses that the OSMS PWS creates a potential OCI between the contractors/subcontractors performing the OSMS PWS and other current and future Portsmouth or Paducah contracts/subcontract (i.e., Technical Support Services (TSS), Paducah ISS, Portsmouth D&D, and Paducah D&R)." Please clarify if the Portsmouth ISS contract that was awarded in January of 2022 with a similar scope to the Paducah ISS would present a similar potential conflict of interest?	Yes, it does. Amendment 0001 includes the revision to add the Portsmouth ISS as well.
39	Attachment L-8	Natural Gas Cost	Attachment L-8 states "The Offeror shall assume that Natural Gas costs at PAD is \$75K/year and \$85K/year (FY23)." The sentence appears to be incomplete. Is it correct to assume that \$85K per year is intended to be the yearly cost for PORTS?	Yes. Attachment L-8, Cost Assumptions has been revised in Amendment 0001.

40	L.14.(d).(1)	Team Oral Interview	How many incidents will be addressed within the 60-minute Team Interview?	DOE will conduct an interview with the key personnel team by presenting multiple incidents at the beginning of the interview. The key personnel team will have 60 minutes to respond to the incidents.
41	L.14.(d).(1)	Team Oral Interview	When giving Oral Interview instructions to the Key Personnel participants for the Team Oral Interview, will the government please state how many incidents will be addressed within the 60-minute Team Interview? If not, what information will be provided to the team to facilitate appropriate allocation of time to address requirements?	DOE will conduct an interview with the key personnel team by presenting multiple incidents at the beginning of the interview. The key personnel team will have 60 minutes to respond to the incidents.
42	L.14.(d).(1)	Team Oral Interview	During the team interview, please confirm that Offeror key personnel will problem-solve together before answering the technical and/or managerial incident questions.	DOE will conduct an interview with the key personnel team by presenting multiple incidents at the beginning of the interview. The key personnel team will have 60 minutes to respond to the incidents.
43	L.14.(d).(1)	Team Oral Interview	Please confirm that questions will be provided either via hard-copy or on the screen during the Team Oral Interview.	Interview questions will be presented on the screen.
44	L.11(m)	OCI	In the second paragraph, please clarify why the Portsmouth Infrastructure Support Services (ISS) contractor was not mentioned as having a potential OCI (i.e., only the Paducah ISS contractor was mentioned).	That was an error. Amendment 0001 includes a revision to add the Portsmouth ISS Contractor as well.
45			Will you please provide a listing of the Subcontract Agreements (SCA's) or other types of Vendor Agreements (VA's) (Example: Long Term Rental Contracts, Maintenance Contracts, Purchase Order Agreements, Parts or Supplies Contracts, etc.) which have been designated to "Roll-Over" or be novated from the existing Prime Contract onto the next successful Prime Contractor?	No such listing currently exists. This detailed information will be compiled during the Transition Period. The requested information is not needed for proposal preparation purposes.
46			1.) If the DOE has not yet decided exactly which SCA's or VA's are suitable for roll-over or novation to the next Prime Contractor, then can you please provide a listing of those current Subcontracts and Vendor Agreements which are available for roll-over or novation?	This detailed information will be compiled during the Transition Period. The requested information is not needed for proposal preparation purposes.
47			Will the new Prime Contractor be able to select, or provide input as to which SCA's or VA's are desired to be rolled-over or novated to the new Prime Contract?	Yes. This will be discussed during transition.

48			Can the new Prime Contractor refuse to accept an existing Subcontractor, or Vendor Agreement?	See Section H.30 DOE-H-2043 Assignment and Transfer of Prime Contracts and Subcontracts for requirements.
49			Given the fact the Infrastructure Scope (DUF-6) Rick, Please insert the correct terminology and contract reference name here. will be separated from the D&D Scope, how will a single existing Subcontract Agreement, or Vendor Agreement be novated or rolled over and split between the two different Prime Contracts?	The requested information is not needed for proposal preparation purposes. This detailed information will be compiled during the period of the contract transition.
50			We understand there is an existing Project Labor Agreement (PLA) covering all work onsite at the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant.	There is a Project Labor Agreement (PLA) that the PORTS D&D Incumbent Contractor and the Tri-State Building and Construction Trades Council are signatory. The OSMS Incumbent Contractor is not signatory to any PLA.
51			Can you please provide a complete copy of the existing Project Labor Agreement, along with the names and contact information for all Signatory Labor Unions to the PLA?	The OSMS Incumbent Contractor is not signatory to any PLA.
52			What is the duration (term) of the existing PLA and when does it expire or renew?	The OSMS Incumbent Contractor is not signatory to any PLA.
53			Does the existing PLA only cover the United Steel Workers Local or does it also cover ALL Building Trades Unions as well?	The OSMS Incumbent Contractor is not signatory to any PLA.
54			Does the existing PLA have Right of 'Assignment', or can the existing PLA be novated or rolled-over to the new Prime Contractor?	The OSMS Incumbent Contractor is not signatory to any PLA.
55			Is it mandatory that the new Prime Contractor accept all terms and conditions of the existing PLA?	The OSMS Incumbent Contractor is not signatory to any PLA.

56			Can the new Prime Contractor perform the work on an Open Shop, or Merit Shop basis?	Consistent with H.9(b) and applicable labor laws and regulations, the new prime contractor agrees to recognize the United Steelworkers, Local 689, Local 550 and the International Union, Security, Police and Fire Professionals of America and Amalgamated Local 66 and Local 111 as the collective bargaining representatives for employees performing work that has historically and traditionally been performed by members of these unions and is covered by the scope of the contract. The existing collective bargaining agreements between the Incumbent Contractor and these unions can be found in the documents library under the CHRM subheader. Potential offerors should consult with labor counsel concerning their duties and obligations under the applicable labor laws and regulations.
57			What latitude will the new Prime Contractor have with respects to negotiating labor relations, and/or any changes for the benefit of the workers and future Labor Relations?	Please review Section H.9 for bargaining obligations and contractual requirements, as well as FAR 22.1, DEAR 970.2201, and all applicable Federal and State labor relations laws.
58			Will the DOE please consider a time extension of a minimum of two weeks, or a one month time extension for the Bid Due Date?	No. Not at this time.
59	L(11)(m)	Organizational Conflicts of Interest	Please clarify that the intent of this clause does not prohibit companies from competing for, and potentially winning, both the OSMS and PORTS D&D contracts; and that it is included to ensure that, if a company intends to compete for both contracts, a proper mitigation plan is submitted with the proposal(s) to provide the government assurance that an adequate strategy/structure is in place to avoid the potential OCI(s)	Your interpretation of the intent of the provision is correct. Amendment 0001 includes changes to the MC&A scope in Section C, Performance Work Statement and Section J, Attachment J-5, PPPO Site Services and Interface Requirements Matrix to resolve the potential conflict. The OCI provision at Section L.11, paragraph (m) removes the MC&A language.

60	Attachment L-3	Past Performance Reference Information Form (PPRIF)	<p>Regarding Attachment L-3, Past Performance Reference Information Form (PPRIF), we understand that offerors have liberty to alter the format of this form, but not the content and font. Given that our response is limited to seven pages, would it be acceptable for offerors to delete the following explanatory text from the PPRIF table:</p> <p>From Box 7 – “*The reference point of contact must include the Contracting Officer (or equivalent), and may also include the Project Director or Contracting Officer’s Representative (or equivalents).”</p> <p>From Box 14 – “Note: An estimated breakdown of the work by PWS element over the contract period is provided for information purposes. The Offeror can further break down these elements and percentages</p>	<p>No. Per the Final RFP note referenced on the Attachment L-3 form: Note: The Offeror may amend the format for Attachment L-3, Past Performance Reference Information Form, as long as the exact information, font and size (per DOE-L-2001), and page limitations are followed.</p>
61	L.17.j, Table L-5	Material Control and Accountability	<p>Items 9 and 10 in the table list 3 PWS elements for each site. Would DOE please clarify the details of these 3 WBS elements and why there are 3 separate WBS elements for these work areas? This information is necessary to comply with the instructions on baseline development.</p>	<p>The WBS is reflective of the funding allocation. The OSMS will own a single NMCA Program for all PPPO contractors (PMB will be split between EM.PA.0020.0020.0002.02 and EM.PO.0020.0020.0002.02). The implementation of the program to support projects is covered by EM.PO.0011X.0011X01.0028.04, EM.PO.0040.004001.0010.04, EM.PA.0011X.0011X01.0028.04, EM.PA.0040.004001.0011.04.</p>
62	Attachment J-7	Occupational Medical Service	<p>Attachment J-7 indicates that AM is the Occupational Medical service provider at PORTS.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In order to accurately develop BOEs, please specify if this is a mandatory service or optional for Offerors.</li> <li>- Attachment J-7 does not identify an Occupational Medical services provider for Paducah, should this provider also be identified in the RFP?</li> <li>- Are there any cost assumptions associated with Occupational Medical services?</li> </ul>	<p>Although the Occupational Medical Services are a requirement of the OSMS Contract, it is not a mandatory service to be obtained from the current provider.</p> <p>The provider of the services at Paducah should not be identified in the RFP. The provider of the services at Portsmouth is listed because the provider owns the facility.</p> <p>No, we do not currently have any Occupational Medical assumptions in L-8.</p>
63	Library Documents	DUF6-PLN-005 Rev. 4, page 22 of 62	<p>Reference Mid-America Waste Management Plan (DUF6-PLN-005 Rev. 4), Section 5.3 Oxide-Filled Containers, Page 22 of 62. To support BOE development, please provide the referenced "Pilot Oxide Management Plan" (DUF6-PLN-285).</p>	<p>The link in the Documents Library on the procurement website was fixed on June 13, 2022.</p>

64	Library Documents	D-C-0000-UPS-0090-E Paducah DUF6 Conversion Project UPS One Line Diagram	There is an error when downloading this document from the DOE website indicating that there is a broken link. Would DOE provide the underlying document to the Offerors?	The link in the Documents Library on the procurement website was fixed on June 9, 2022.
65	Library Documents	Collective Bargaining Agreement	The Documents Library has attachments referencing the Collective Bargaining Agreements related to the current DUF6 facility. Please provide a current update on any local CBAs.	The most current versions are posted.
66	Section J, Attachment J-5	Calibration and Repair of radiological instrumentation	Table J-5 states that the ISS contractor is responsible for calibration and repair of radiological Instrumentation at PAD. Please clarify who is responsible for this scope at PORTS.	For the scope at Portsmouth, each individual contractor is responsible for its calibration and repair of radiological instrumentation.